

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,301	06/03/2002	Peter Loser	18744-0005	9833	
	590 03/18/2003				
	ND ASBILL & BREI	EXAMINER			
	999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309			PRIEBE, SCOTT DAVID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632		
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/049,301

Applicant(s)

Loser et al.

Examiner

Scott D. Priebe, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1632

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	H(S) FROM			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e considered timely. 19 date of this communication.			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, proseculated in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453	cution as to the merits is O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are	pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	s/are allowed.			
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10</u>				
7) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>6-9</u>				
8) Claims are subject to restrict				
Application Papers	or o			
9) U The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) \square The drawing(s) filed on <u>Jun 3, 2002</u> is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1 85(a)			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved to	b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The path or declaration is objected to by the Francisco				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(
a) ✓ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	d) or (†).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. \(\times\) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been required in a	his National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Hational Otage			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	'			
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No. 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-948)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:				
of Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/049,301 Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 04/05/02 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein as FR 2763959 has not been considered.

Drawings

New formal drawings are required in this application because of the objections by the Draftsperson (see attached PTO-948) and because the quality of Fig. 2 is too poor to provide detail. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Art Unit: 1632

Claims 6-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim may not depend from a multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-9 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,301 Page 4

Art Unit: 1632

Claims 1-5 and 10 provide for the "use of" a non-human adenoviral vector, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

The term "muscle cell complexes" is unclear and undefined in the specification. In addition, claims 1 and 10 are grammatically incorrect and consequently confusing. For example in claim 1, "altered" (line 5) is used as an adjective, and is not followed by a noun which it qualifies. In both claims 1 and 10 it is unclear which nouns the various prepositional and gerund phrases are modifying. For example in claim 1, it is unclear which of "mammal" or "muscle cells or muscle cell complexes", if either, the phrase "which display ..." is to be applied. Also for example in claims 1 and 10, it is unclear as to which of "non-human adenoviral vector" or "means", if either, the term "comprising" (claim 1, line 7, or claim 10, line 4) is to be applied.

Claims 2-4 recite the limitation "the virus" in line 3 of each. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

The claims as written are insufficient to determine what inventions are being claimed. Consequently, they were not further examined on the merits beyond compliance with 35 USC § 101 and § 112, second para. Applicant is advised that upon submission of amended claims, such claims may be subject to restriction if there is lack of unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1,

Art Unit: 1632

and any subsequent Office action on the merits may be made final if new grounds of rejection are required in response to such amendment.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Haddada et al. describe the making and using of a non-human adenoviral vector.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1632 by facsimile transmission. The FAX numbers are (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014 for any type of communication. In addition, FAX numbers for a computer server system using RightFAX are also available for communications before final rejection, (703) 872-9306, and for communications after final rejection, (703) 872-9307, which will generate a return receipt. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott D. Priebe whose telephone number is (703) 308-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 AM to 4 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached on (703) 305-4051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Scott D. Priebe, Ph.D.

Statt D. Priche

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Art Unit 1632